DNA backbone interactions impact the sequence specificity of DNA sulfur-binding domains: revelations from structural analyses

Hao Yu¹, Jiayi Li¹, Guang Liu², Gong Zhao¹, Yuli Wang¹, Wenyue Hu¹, Zixin Deng¹, Geng Wu¹, Jianhua Gan^{®3}, Yi-Lei Zhao^{®1,*} and Xinyi He^{®1,*}

¹State Key Laboratory of Microbial Metabolism, Joint International Research Laboratory of Metabolic & Developmental Sciences, School of Life Sciences & Biotechnology, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, 800 Dongchuan Road, Shanghai 200240, People's Republic of China, ²State Key Laboratory of Bioreactor Engineering, East China University of Science and Technology, Shanghai 200237, People's Republic of China and ³Shanghai Public Health Clinical Center, State Key Laboratory of Genetic Engineering, Collaborative Innovation Center of Genetics and Development, Department of Physiology and Biophysics, School of Life Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, People's Republic of China

Received December 12, 2019; Revised June 23, 2020; Editorial Decision June 23, 2020; Accepted June 25, 2020

ABSTRACT

The sulfur atom of phosphorothioated DNA (PT-DNA) is coordinated by a surface cavity in the conserved sulfur-binding domain (SBD) of type IV restriction enzymes. However, some SBDs cannot recognize the sulfur atom in some sequence contexts. To illustrate the structural determinants for sequence specificity, we resolved the structure of SBD_{Spr}, from endonuclease SprMcrA, in complex with DNA of G_{PS}GCC, GPSATC and GPSAAC contexts. Structural and computational analyses explained why it binds the above PT-DNAs with an affinity in a decreasing order. The structural analysis of SBD_{Spr}-G_{PS}GCC and SBD_{Sco}-G_{PS}GCC, the latter only recognizes DNA of G_{PS}GCC, revealed that a positively charged loop above the sulfur-coordination cavity electrostatically interacts with the neighboring DNA phosphate linkage. The structural analysis indicated that the DNA-protein hydrogen bonding pattern and weak non-bonded interaction played important roles in sequence specificity of SBD protein. Exchanges of the positivelycharged amino acid residues with the negativelycharged residues in the loop would enable SBD_{Sco} to extend recognization for more PT-DNA sequences, implying that type IV endonucleases can be engineered to recognize PT-DNA in novel target sequences.

INTRODUCTION

Bacterial DNA phosphorothioate (PT) modi cation involves the replacement of the R_P non-bridging oxygen of a given phosphodiester bond with sulfur by Dnd proteins (1,2). Natural PT modi cations dynamically occur to both DNA strands at the consensus sequences of G_{PS}GCC (_{PS} denotes PT link) in *Streptomyces lividans* 66, G_{PS}AAC/ G_{PS}TTC in *Escherichia coli* B7A and *Salmonella enterica* 87, and G_{PS}ATC in *Bermanella marisrubri* RED65, or to a single strand at C_{PS}CA sites in *Vibrio cyclitrophicus* FF75 (3,4). *dnd* gene clusters governing PT modi cation are present in more than 1,300 bacterial and archaeal species (5,6). PT modi cation has been implicated in conferring resistance to oxidation to the host bacteria (7,8), in uencing the global transcriptional response (9), and participating in restriction-modi cation systems in bacteria (10).

DNA modi cations, primarily base methylation, participate in DNA replication and gene regulation through interactions with different nucleic acid binding proteins, also known as 'readers' (11), which transmit methylation information to other systems. For example, 5mCpG, the major eukaryotic methylated dinucleotide, is recognized by the methyl-GpG-binding (MBD) domain and the SET and RING nger-associated (SRA) domain, the prevalent 5mC reader in three life kingdoms (12,13). The SRA domain is often fused to other domain(s) that function in versatile cellular processes related to 5mC metabolism, or fused with a nuclease motif to cleave DNA in a modi cation-dependent way (14–18). Therefore, studies of the recognition mechanism of DNA modi cation by these readers are important to understand the ow of epigenetic information.

^{*}To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel: +86 2162932943; Fax: +86 21 6293 2418; Email: xyhe@sjtu.edu.cn Correspondence may also be addressed to Yi-Lei Zhao. Email: yileizhao@sjtu.edu.cn

c The Author(s) 2020. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Nucleic Acids Research.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We recently identi ed a new type of reader in the *Streptomyces coelicolor* type IV restriction enzyme ScoMcrA, which speci cally recognizes and cleaves PT-modi ed DNA (19), and this reader is a sulfur-binding domain (SBD) (20,21). PT-dependence is dictated by SBDs, whose carboxyl termini almost exclusively contain HNH nuclease motifs (21).

The complex structure of SBD_{Sco} bound to the PT-DNA sequence 5 -CCG_{PS}GCCGG-3 was determined [Protein Data Bank (PDB) accession number: 5ZMO] (20). Discrimination of sulfur from oxygen by the SBD was achieved by concurrent interactions with the sulfur atom, the nonbridging oxygen of neighboring phosphates, as well as with the base pairs surrounding the PT linkage, in a manner generally similar to the recognition of 5mC or 5hmC by SRA. SBD_{Sco} only contacted the PT linkage on one DNA strand even though both strands were phosphorothioated. Three phosphates in the vicinity of the central PT link were wrapped in a cleft edged by two positively-charged patches on the surface of SBD_{Sco} , where the R_P sulfur was rmly coordinated by a hydrophobic concave pointing to the bottom of this cleft (20). SBD_{Sco} only binds to PT-DNA in the sequence G_{PS}GCC and not to the other four natural PT modi cation sequences, in sharp contrast to that the SRA domain has high exibility in target sequence selection (22). In the complex structure of SBD_{Sco}–G_{PS}GCC, four residues on a 'base-contacting' loop formed seven hydrogen bonds with the three base pairs across the PT link. Single mutation of individual residues lowered the binding af nity to G_{PS}GCC by varying amounts, ranging from 20% to 80% (20). By contrast, the SBD of the PT-dependent restriction endonuclease (REase) SprMcrA from Streptomyces pristi*naespiralis* has a relatively relaxed sequence speci city, targeting G_{PS}GCC, G_{PS}AAC and G_{PS}ATC, but not G_{PS}TTC or C_{PS}CA (21).

To understand the reasons underlying the differences in sequence speci city among SBDs, we crystalized SBD_{Spr} with G_{PS}GCC, G_{PS}AAC and G_{PS}ATC. Comparative structural analysis revealed that a surface patch on two SBDs possesses a reverse charge, which exerts repelling and attracting strength on DNA by SBD_{Sco} and SBD_{Spr} , respectively. Mutation of E156R/D157R in this patch from SBD_{Sco} conferred the mutant domain with the ability to bind G_{PS}AAC and G_{PS}ATC. Additionally, we provide evidence for why both SBDs showed a higher af nity for G_{PS}GCC than for the other DNA sequences. This study reports that variation in DNA binding af nity constitutes a key determinant of the sequence speci city for SBDs and provides new insights into approaches for engineering the speci city of modi cation-dependent REases by altering their contacts with DNA phosphates other than the nucleotide bases.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Construction of protein expression vector and site-directed mutagenesis

DNA fragments encoding wild-type SBD_{Spr} and SBD_{Sco} were cloned into the pET28a vector (Novagen), with N-terminal 6xHis tags. His-tagged SBD_{Spr} and SBD_{Sco} mutant variants were constructed by the whole-plasmid PCR

and *DpnI* digestion method (23). The *Escherichia coli* strain DH10b was used as a transformation host. The mutations were con rmed by DNA sequencing of the entire gene. Primers used for plasmid construction were listed in Supplementary Table S1.

Preparation and purification of stereospecific PT-DNA

The PT-DNA oligonucleotides were chemically synthesized and PAGE-puri ed. The concentration of oligonucleotides was determined by spectrophotometric measurement on a NanoDrop eternth0(e)-(t713.5(vphotometric)-307(min307.4REase .6(m method in 48-well plates (24). Typically, 1 μ l of reservoir solution was mixed with 1 μ l of protein–DNA solution and equilibrated against 80 µl of reservoir solution. After optimization and macroseeding efforts, diffracting crystals of SBD_{Spr}-G_{PS}GCC were obtained from a buffer of 0.01 M magnesium acetate tetrahydrate, 0.05 M sodium cacodylate trihydrate pH 6.5, and 1.3 M lithium sulfate monohydrate. Crystal diffraction datasets at a resolution of 2.06 Å for the SBD_{Spr}–G_{PS}GCC complex were collected at the BL19U1 beamline at the National Center for Protein Science Shanghai and processed using HKL2000 (25). The crystal belonged to space group $P6_122$, and contained three molecules of SBD_{Spr} in complex with three molecules of PT-DNA in each asymmetric unit. The crystal structure was determined by the molecular replacement method with the Phaser program (26), using the structure of SBD_{Spr} -G_{PS}AAC as the searching model. The structure of the SBD_{Spr}-G_{PS}GCC complex was re ned and rebuilt using Coot (27) and Refmac (28).

The co-crystal of SBD_{Spr} with 8-bp oligos with $G_{PS}AAC$ sequence was not successfully obtained. Crystals for SBD_{Spr} in complex with the R_P form of the 10-bp hemi-PT DNA oligonucleotide 5 -GGCG_{PS}AACGTG-3 were grown and obtained at 14 C with the reservoir solution containing 0.1 M BIS-Tris pH 5.5, 0.15 M ammonium acetate, and 25% PEG 3350. The SBD_{Spr}-G_{PS}AAC complex crystals belonged to the P1 space group, with two molecules of SBD_{Spr} and two molecules of G_{PS}AAC-DNA; the structure of the complex was determined to 2.42 Å by the molecular replacement method with the phenix.rosetta_re ne program (29), using the SBD domain of the ScoMcrA structure (PDB code: 5ZMO) as the searching model. The structure of the SBD_{Spr}-G_{PS}AAC complex was re ned and rebuilt using Coot and Phenix.re ne.

Crystals for SBD_{Spr} in complex with the R_P form of the 8-bp hemi-PT DNA oligonucleotide 5 -GATG_{PS}ATCC-3 were grown at 14 C with the reservoir solution containing 0.1 M Tris–HCl pH 8.5 and 4.5% PEG 8000. The SBD_{Spr}– G_{PS}ATC complex crystals belonged to the *C*222₁ space group, with two molecules of SBD_{Spr} and two molecules of G_{PS}ATC–DNA in the asymmetric unit; the structure of this complex was determined to 3.3 Å by the molecular replacement method with the Phaser program (30), using the structure of SBD_{Spr}–G_{PS}AAC as the searching model. The structure of the SBD_{Spr}–G_{PS}ATC complex was re ned and rebuilt using Coot, Refmac and Phenix.re ne.

The data collection statistics and the renement statistics for the SBD_{spr} - $G_{PS}GCC$, SBD_{Spr} - $G_{PS}AAC$ and SBD_{Spr} - $G_{PS}ATC$ complexes are summarized in Supplementary Table S2.

Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA)

Each EMSA reaction contained 6 pmol DNA and protein at a concentration 4-fold higher than the DNA concentration (molar ratio) in 10 μ l binding buffer (20 mM Tris– HCl, pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl and 5% glycerol). After incubation at room temperature for 5 minutes, the reaction mixtures were loaded onto 12% non-denaturing polyacrylamide gels (acrylamide:bisacrylamide ratio of 79:1, w/w) and electrophoresed in $0.5 \times$ TBE buffer at 15 mA for 30 min. Ten bp-oligonucleotides used for EMSA assay were listed in Supplementary Table S1.

Fluorescence polarization assay for analysis of DNA binding

5 -FAM-labeled hemi-PT-DNA, labeled on one strand only, was synthesized and puri ed (Supplementary Table S1). Protein solutions were diluted serially using 2-fold dilutions $(5 \mu M \text{ starting concentration}, 16-20 \text{ dilutions})$ and mixed with a 5 nM nal concentration of DNA probe in a Corning 3575 plate, using binding buffer of 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 5% glycerol, 50 mM NaCl and 1 mM DTT. The mixture was incubated for 10 min at room temperature, and uorescence polarization was measured at room temperature on a SpectraMax i3x (Molecular Devices) using 485/20 nm and 528/20 nm lters for emission and excitation, respectively. The dissociation constants (K_D) were calculated by tting the experimental data (from two experimental replicates) to the following equation using GraphPad Prism software (version 6.0): $[mP] = [maximum mP][C] / (K_D + [C]) + [base$ line mP], and then the curve was replotted using percent saturation calculated as ([mP] – [baseline mP])/([maximum mP] – [baseline mP]), where mP is millipolarization and [C] is protein concentration. The binding experiments were performed under the same laboratory conditions.

Transformation efficiency assay

The pACYCDuetTM-1 vector (PT⁻) and its derivative (PT⁺) carrying the *dnd* gene cluster from *Salmonella enterica* serovar Cerro 87 were introduced to *E. coli* BL21(DE3), and competent cells of the resulting strains were prepared using the standard calcium chloride protocol. Transformation frequency was determined by introducing 100 ng pET28a derivatives carrying *scoMcrA* or its mutant variants to the competent cells. The number of *E. coli* colonies in each experiment was determined by serial dilutions. Each experiment was repeated three times and the mean value of the transformation frequency was reported(or)-20.6(ma)29.3(ti2.8(13.7)]^{*}

be 12 Å. The systems were neutralized by adding appropriate numbers of Na⁺ and Cl⁻ ions. Long-range electrostatic interactions were calculated with the Particle-Mesh-Ewald (PME) method (37), and van der Waals interactions were truncated within 12 Å. The time interval was set as 2 fs, and the SHAKE (38) algorithm was used to constrain the bonds-connecting hydrogen atoms. The entire system was

rst minimized and heated up to 298 K before the production process. The CPPTRAJ tool implemented in the AM-BER 16 software package was used for trajectory analyses, such as the popular root-mean square deviation (RMSD) and cluster analysis. Solvent accessible surface area (SASA) is a parameter that measures the fraction of the protein surface interacting with the solvent molecules. The term corresponding to the SASA was calculated through BIOVIA Discovery Studio (39).

Binding Free Energy Calculation

• 0

The binding free energy between PT-DNA and SBD was calculated by the MM/GBSA approach (40), using the following equations,

10

$$\Delta G_{\text{bind}} = G_{\text{complex}} - (G_{\text{PT-DNA}} + G_{\text{Protein}}),$$

$$\Delta G_{\text{bind}} = \Delta H - T \cdot \Delta S \quad \Delta E_{\text{MM}} + \Delta G_{\text{solv}} - T \Delta S,$$

$$\Delta E_{\text{MM}} = \Delta E_{\text{int}} + \Delta E_{\text{vdW}} + \Delta E_{\text{ele}},$$

$$\Delta G_{\text{solv}} = \Delta G_{\text{GB}} + \Delta G_{\text{SA}},$$

where ΔE_{int} is neglectable with the single-trajectory strategy. The nonpolar part of the solvation free energy (ΔG_{SA}) was calculated with the solvent-accessible surface area (SASA) through the LCPO algorithm (41), by using $\Delta G_{\text{SA}} = \gamma \cdot \text{SASA} + \beta$ (the surface tension constants γ and β were set to 0.0072 and 0, respectively). The polar part of the solvation energy (ΔG_{GB}) was estimated using the Generalized Born (GB) model proposed by Onufriev *et al.* (GB^{OBC1}, igb = 2) (42). The ΔE_{vdW} , ΔE ele, ΔG_{GB} and ΔG_{SA} terms were computed based on the 500 snapshots extracted from the last 20 ns MD trajectories. Each trajectory was calculated individually, and then all energies were analyzed statistically.

Non-covalent interaction (NCI) analysis

The independent gradient model (IGM) (43) and reduced density gradient (RDG) (44) analyses were carried out using Multiwfn 3.6 program (45). Molecular plots were visualized with the VMD 1.9.3 program (46).

The IGM analysis depends on the topological characteristics of the electron density, ρ . The IGM descriptor δg^{inter} is calculated as the difference between the rst derivatives of electron density of the whole system and the fragments:

$$\delta \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{r})^{inter} = \left| \begin{array}{c} \rho^{\mathrm{IGM,inter}} \left| \begin{array}{c} - \left| \begin{array}{c} \rho \end{array} \right| \right| \right|$$

 $\delta g^{inter}>0$ indicates the presence of weak interactions and its magnitude denotes the interacting intensity.

The non-covalent interaction RDG method is an alternative method to reveal weak interlayer interactions (44), with

Table 1. A	Af ni	ity of SBD _{Spr}	for PT-DNA	of different	core sequences
------------	-------	---------------------------	------------	--------------	----------------

PT-DNA		$K_{\rm D}$ (nM)
G _{PS} GCC	Rp	$5.6~\pm~0.9$
	SP	_*
G _{PS} ATC	R _P	38 ± 8
	$S_{\rm P}$	-
G _{PS} AAC	$R_{\rm P}$	$95~\pm~25$
	$S_{\rm P}$	-
G _{PS} TTC	$R_{\rm P}$	-
	$S_{\rm P}$	-
C _{PS} CA	R_{P}	-
	$S_{\rm P}$	-

*The binding af nity of SBD_{Spr} to substrate DNA was too weak, making the K_D value too large to be determined.

a dimensionless form of electron density gradient norm function:

RDG (r) =
$$\frac{1}{2(3\pi^2)^{1/3}} \frac{|\rho(r)|}{\rho(r)^{4/3}}$$

The sign of the second eigenvalue of the electron density Hessian matrix, sign(λ_2), was used in the RDG analyses to judge the attractive and repulsive interaction, that is, corresponding to negative and positive values of sign(λ_2) ρ , respectively.

RESULTS

Affinity of SBD_{Spr} for PT-DNA of varied sequence contexts

The sulfur modi cation-dependent REases SprMcrA and ScoMcrA use an SBD to recognize the DNA backbone phosphorothioate link of the $R_{\rm P}$ stereoisomer, which is adopted by the naturally occurring PT modi cations in ve DNA core sequence contexts (Table 1) in prokaryotes. ScoMcrA only recognizes G_{PS}GCC, whereas SprMcrA binds and shifts DNA of the sequences G_{PS}GCC, $G_{PS}ATC$ and $G_{PS}AAC$ in EMSAs (21). To compare the af nity of SBD_{Spr} (aa 1–165 of SprMcrA) for PT-DNA of the ve natural PT sequence contexts, a set of hemimodi ed DNA duplexes, which differed from each other in the core sequence bearing the PT link in either the $S_{\rm P}$ or $R_{\rm P}$ con guration (Table 1) were assayed (Supplementary Figure S1). In agreement with the reported EMSA results (21), no S_P PT-DNA nor R_P PT-DNA in sequences of G_{PS}TTC or C_{PS}CA could be recognized by SBD_{Spr}, indicating that recognition of the PT link is coupled with interactions with surrounding nucleobase or phosphate groups. SBD_{Spr} showed the highest binding af nity for $G_{PS}GCC$, with a dissociation constant value (K_D) of 5.55 nM, followed by a K_D of 38.33 nM for G_{PS}ATC and 94.67 nM for $G_{PS}AAC$ (Table 1). By comparison, SBD_{Sco} showed a K_D of 102 nM for G_{PS}GCC (Table 2), 18.5fold weaker binding than SBD_{Spr} had to the same DNA duplex.

Structure of SBD_{Spr} complexes

To determine why SBD_{Spr} showed varying af nity for $G_{PS}GCC$, $G_{PS}ATC$ and $G_{PS}AAC$, as well as a much higher

Table 2. K_D (nM) value of SBD_{Sco} and SBD_{Sco} mutants for PT-DNA of different core sequences

	Hemi PT-DNA duplex			
	G _{PS} GCC	G _{PS} ATC	G _{PS} AAC	
SBD _{sco} (wild type) E156D E156Q E156L E156K E156R E156R E156R	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	

* K_D (dissociation constant, nM).

af nity for G_{PS}GCC, we determined the crystal structures of SBD_{Spr} in the presence of three hemi-PT-DNA oligonucleotides with the G_{PS}GCC, G_{PS}ATC and G_{PS}AAC core sequences (PDB codes 7CC9, 7CCJ and 7CCD, respectively; Supplementary Table S2). The overall structures for the three complexes were similar with in the terms of sulfur coordination (Supplementary Figure S2) except for different base interaction (See below). To simplify the description of the SBD_{Spr} structure and facilitate comparative analysis with SBD_{Sco} -G_{PS}GCC, we here depict the details on one of the complexes, SBD_{Spr}-G_{PS}GCC as an example. The structure was determined by molecular replacement and re ned to a resolution of 2.06 Å. The crystallographic asymmetric unit contained three protein molecules, with each of them associated with one molecule of hemi-PT-DNA (Supplementary Figure S3A). The three SBD molecules and their respective DNA molecules in a crystallographic unit were well aligned with each other (the value of root mean square error is less than 0.140 Å over 165 C α atoms) (Supplementary Figure S3D). SBD_{Spr} comprised nine helices (α 1– α 9) and two β -sheets (β A and β B) (Figure 1A–C). SBD_{Spr} clearly did not make any contact with the DNA strand without the PT linkage. The sulfur atom from the DNA helical edge was positioned outward into the central bottom of a hydrophobic cavity that was formed by the side chains of

ve amino acid residues from the separated helices 2 and 4 (Figure 2A and B). The PT-DNA binds within a basic groove on the wedge-like surface of the SBD protein, leading to the mortise-and-tenon-like interactions (Figure 2A). The sulfur atom of the PT linkage was inserted into a cavity which formed by Y31, Q32, Y78, P79 and A82 through Van der Waals interactions; the phosphate groups anking the PT linkage formed electrostatic bonds with R29, R73 and R85, as well as hydrogen bonds with Y31 and A101 (Figure 2C). As predicted, a single mutation within the ve residues diminished the DNA binding af nity to varied extents, particularly with either of the two Y mutations, which almost abolished DNA binding af nity (Supplementary Figure S4, Table S3). In addition to interactions with the sulfur atom and phosphate backbone, the H102-G103-D104 motif of loop A5 inserted into the PT-DNA major groove to form ve hydrogen bonds (Hbond) with bases of G_{PS}GCC core sequence (Figures 1B, 2C and 3).

Comparison of the structure of SBD_{Spr} and SBD_{Sco} complexed with $G_{PS}GCC$

Generally, the overall structure of the SBD_{Spr} monomer, including the sulfur-binding cavity, was similar to SBD_{Sco} except for three exible loops that swayed differently (Supplementary Figure S5). Among them, loop 12 made no contact with DNA substrates in either structure. Loop 34 was three amino acids longer in SBD_{Spr} than in SBD_{Sco} and made contacts with the DNA phosphate backbone. The location of loop A5 in SBD_{Spr} was shifted by four amino acids from the equivalent loop 56 in SBD_{Sco} (Figure 1C); however, both of these loops were inserted into the major groove of the DNA substrates and contacted the DNA bases (Figure 1A, B). The sequence and spatial arrangement of these residues involved in sulfur coordination were well aligned with the equivalent residues of SBD_{Sco}, but differed slightly by the presence of an additional electrostatic bond with the guanidine group of R117 in SBD_{Sco} (Supplementary Figure S6). The S_P oxygen symmetric to sulfur was stabilized by two hydrogen bonds with Q32 and A22 in SBD_{Spr} whereas it only bonded to the imino group of R117 in SBD_{Sco} . The Q32A mutation in SBD_{Spr} caused an 50-fold decrease in binding af nity, but the equivalent mutation of R117A or R117G in SBD_{Sco} completely abolished the af nity for PT-DNA, possibly due to the loss of three bonds between R117 and the sulfur and oxygen atoms.

Except for the electrostatic interaction between the phosphate group of G^4 and R85, the remaining four bonds lack equivalents in the structure of the SBD_{Sco} complex (20). Therefore, the striking structural difference between the two complexes with respect to the interaction with the phosphate backbone lies in the lack of any interaction with the phosphate group of C^6 , immediately downstream of the phosphorothioate in SBD_{Sco}. On the contrary, in SBD_{spr}, Y31 also makes a hydrogen bond to the fth DNA phosphate in addition to coordination with the sulfur atom (Figure 2C).

Base contact by $\ensuremath{\mathsf{SBD}_{\mathsf{Spr}}}$ determines the variation in binding affinity

As mentioned above, SBD_{Spr} displayed varied af nity to PT-DNA of different core sequences (Table 1). In three SBD_{Spr} co-crystal structures, the H102-G103-D104 motif all inserted into the DNA major groove to make contacts with bases, but the numbers of H-bonds were slightly different (Figure 3). In all structures, the ND1 atom of H102 formed H-bond with O6 atom of G^4 , and the OD2 atom of D104 bonded to the N4 atom of C⁷. It's worth noting that the ND1 atom of H102 also formed H-bond with N7 atom of G⁴ in G_{PS}GCC and G_{PS}AAC sequences, while this H-bond was not existing in SBD_{Spr}-G_{PS}ATC complex. When the central SG^5C^6 are changed to SA^5A^6 or SA^5T^6 , the H-bonds patterns formed by the central bases showed some differences in three complexes. The N atom in the main chain of G103 bonded to N7 atom of SG⁵ from G_{PS}GCC sequence and N7 atom of SA⁵ from G_{PS}ATC and G_{PS}AAC sequences. The carbonyl O atom of G103 formed an additional H-bond with N4 atom of base C⁶ in SBD_{Snr}-



Figure 1. SBD of the PT-dependent restriction endonucleases SprMcrA and ScoMcrA. (A, B) Two views of the SBD of SprMcrA (SBD_{Spr}) binding PT-DNA speci cally. Loop 12, loop 34 and loop A5, which interact with DNA, are indicated by red arrows. The side chains of His102 and Asp104 (colored in purple) are located in the DNA major groove. The green ball in DNA molecule denoted the sulfur atom. (C) Sequence alignment of the SBD of SprMcrA (SBD_{Spr}) and ScoMcrA (SBD_{Sco}). The conserved residues forming the sulfur atom-binding pocket are marked with yellow triangles. Secondary structure elements of SBD_{Spr} and SBD_{Sco} are numbered according to crystal structures (PDB code: 7CC9 and 5ZMO). The loops that interact with DNA are marked by green boxes. Alignment was generated with ESPript.

 $G_{PS}GCC$ complex. SA^5 showed a signi cant de ection in $G_{PS}ATC$ sequence, compared with $G_{PS}GCC$ and $G_{PS}AAC$ sequences, leading to formation of another H-bond between the ND1 atom of H102 with the N6 atom of SA^5 . In conclusion, HGD motif formed ve H-bonds in $SBD_{Spr}-G_{PS}GCC$ complex while four H-bonds in $SBD_{Spr}-G_{PS}ATC$ and $SBD_{Spr}-G_{PS}AAC$ complexes, which explained why SBD_{Spr} showed a highest af nity for $G_{PS}GCC$.

The base recognition pattern by HGD motif in complexes of SBD_{Spr}-G_{PS}AAC and SBD_{Spr}-G_{PS}ATC is different (Figure 3). What's more, close comparison of two structures revealed that the binding of the $G_{PS}AAC$ released the methyl group of T6 on the complementary strand from the binding site, and converts the weak non-bonded interaction to unfavored thymine methyl-solvent accessibility (Supplementary Figure S7). In the case of $G_{PS}ATC$, the percent solvent accessibility of T6 methyl group was calculated to be only 10–15, corresponding to fully buried with the SBD-DNA interface. The multiple C–H...O contacts between the methyl and Y78 were believed to be attractive for $G_{PS}ATC$ (47).



Figure 2. Details of the SBD_{Spr}-DNA interactions. (A) The SBD_{Spr} binds speci cally to G_{PS}GCC. Residues that interact with DNA are colored as follows: Tyr31, Gln32, Tyr78, Pro79 and Ala82, which form the sulfur atom binding pocket, are in yellow; His102, Gly103 and Asp104, which recognize DNA bases, are in purple; and Arg29, Arg73 and Arg85, which interact with phosphates through electrostatic interactions, are in blue. (B) Sulfur atom-binding pocket on SBD_{Spr} formed by Tyr31, Gln32, Tyr78, Pro79 and Ala82. (C) Schematic summary of the interactions between SBD_{Spr} and PT-DNA, mC

In the case of $G_{PS}AAC$, the T6 methyl group was fully exposed to solvent and lack of speci c interaction with SBD protein. Difference in the weak non-bonded interaction between $G_{PS}ATC$ and $G_{PS}AAC$ results in a lower K_D values for $G_{PS}ATC$ than $G_{PS}AAC$ bound by SBD_{Spr}.

To evaluate the contribution of these interactions with the bases to the DNA binding af nity, the three aa residues HGD were independently mutated, and the binding af nity of the resulting mutated proteins to hemi-PT-DNA of 5-GGCG_{PS}GCCC-3 was measured by uorescence polarity (Supplementary Table S3). The H102A and D104A mutants showed a 370-fold and 25-fold decrease, respectively, in binding af nity compared with wild-type protein, demonstrating that base contact constitutes an important component of the total af nity for PT-DNA by ensuring the formation of a stable DNA/protein complex. Unexpectedly, the G103A mutation almost abolished the af nity for PT-DNA as evidenced by the strikingly increased $K_{\rm D}$ value of >9000 nM (Supplementary Table S3). The G103A mutation introduced an additional C-C side chain, which increased the main chain rigidity and affecting the hydrogen bonding network of base G^5 and C^6 , leading to drastic decrease in binding af nity.

Opposite interactions with PT-DNA by loop 34 of SBD_{Spr} and SBD_{Sco}

When the structures of SBD_{Spr}-G_{PS}GCC and SBD_{Sco}-G_{PS}GCC were compared, a striking DNA strand distortion at the two phosphodiester bonds proximal to the 3 terminus of the PT-DNA strand was observed in the SBD_{Sco}-G_{PS}GCC complex. Phosphorus atoms of the seventh and eighth bases in the PT-modi ed strand were extruded by 3.5 and 5.0 Å relative to those in the SBD_{Spr}-G_{PS}GCC structure. (Figure 4A). Compared with SBD_{Sco}, SBD_{Spr} possesses a longer loop 34, containing the three positively charged residues R69, R73, and R75, which constitute a local positive interface with DNA wherein R73 bonds to the phosphate group of C⁶ (Figure 4B, D). By contrast, the corresponding interface of the SBD_{Sco} loop34 features two tandem acidic residues, E156 and D157, and a spatially adjacent D160 (Figure 4C, E). These residues form a negatively charged surface area, which is repulsive towards the DNA phosphate backbone and may account for the distortion of the DNA double helix structure in the SBD_{Sco}-G_{PS}GCC complex.

Ability of SBD_{Sco} -E156R/D157R to bind PT-DNA of $G_{PS}AAC$ and $G_{PS}ATC$

Given that SBD_{Sco} can only bind to $G_{PS}GCC$, and that SBD_{Spr} has maximum af nity for $G_{PS}GCC$, we hypothesized that the repulsive force exerted by the negative interface of SBD_{Sco} weakened its overall af nity for PT-DNA, leading to the failure to recognize $G_{PS}AAC$ or $G_{PS}ATC$, although this repulsive force was not sufficient to disrupt the most stable complex formed with $G_{PS}GCC$.

To test this hypothesis, E156 of SBD_{Sco} , structurally equivalent to R73 of SBD_{Spr} , was mutated into basic (R and K), neutral (L and Q), and acidic (D) residues. Af n-

ity quanti cation of each E156 mutant by uorescence polarization assay showed that the mutants containing the R. K or L substitutions all showed an increased af nity for G_{PS}AAC and G_{PS}ATC relative to the wild-type protein. In particular, the E156R mutant displayed the most signi cant increases in DNA binding af nity for G_{PS}ATC and G_{PS}AAC by, respectively, 3-fold and 2-fold (Table 2, Figure 4F, Supplementary Figure S8). The double-mutation protein, E156R/D157R, showed further increases in DNA binding af nity for G_{PS}ATC and G_{PS}AAC in EMSA (Figure 5A), which were quanti ed to be 5.7-fold and 3-fold increases for G_{PS}ATC and G_{PS}AAC, respectively (Table 2). Unfortunately, we were unable to purify the triple-mutation protein, E156R/D157R/D160R, probably because the signi cant decrease in protein expression. However, mutations of the three acidic residues were constructed in the fulllength ScoMcrA, and the in vivo nuclease activities of the mutants were analyzed by comparing the transformation ef-

ciency of their coding DNA into a PT and non-PT *E. coli* host (Figure 5B). In agreement with the EMSA results for the SBD mutants, the uptake ef ciency of $scoMcrA_{E156R}$ by the PT host was 2.5-fold less than by the non-PT host, while that of other two single-mutant genes had no signi cant difference in the PT and non-PT hosts. In parallel, the transformation ef ciency of $scoMcrA_{E156R/D157R}$ was 500-fold less with PT *E. coli* than with non-PT *E. coli* (Figure 5B), implying that the double-mutation protein acquired restriction activity for G_{PS}AAC DNA, but kept the ability to discriminate the unmodi ed DNA. However, the triple-mutant gene did not show distinctive transformation ef ciency between the PT and non-PT *E. coli* hosts, but rather exhibited decreased ef ciency with both hosts.

To further explore the key structural parameters that affect the binding of PT-DNA and protein, we performed multiple 100 ns MD simulations of the wild-type complex, as well as of the single mutation (E156R) and double mutation (E156R/D157R) complexes for SBD_{Sco}-G_{PS}ATC and SBD_{Sco}-G_{PS}AAC, which were built and mutagenized through Molecular Operating Environment. The most populated conformations sampled during our simulations that contain the interaction regions were chosen for RDG analysis. The calculated RDG isosurfaces with BGR color scales representing sign(λ_2) ρ values are given in Supplementary Figure S9 for E156R and E156R/D157R in SBD_{Sco}-G_{PS}ATC and SBD_{Sco}-G_{PS}AAC. In E156R, two hydrogen bonds, N7_G⁷...NH1_{R156} and O6_G⁷...NH2_{R156}, were strong. When we introduced a double mutation (E156R/D157R), two newly formed hydrogen bonds (HH12_{R157}...O6_G⁷ and $HH22_{R156}$... $O2P_{C}^{6}$) were observed, similar to the case of E156R. Additionally, van der Waals interactions were also observed between the adjacent $HH22_{R157}$ and $O6_{G}^{7}$ and $N7_G^7$, indicated by the green color of the RDG isosurfaces. The gain of G_{PS}ATC and G_{PS}AAC interactions with both R156 and R157 might be crucial to triggering the changes leading to the acquisition of the enhancement of non-covalent interaction. Replacement of E156 and D157 with arginine introduced hydrogen bond interactions as well as van der Waals interactions, which signi cantly strengthened the binding, resulting in a concerted interplay of interactions between the SBD and PT-DNA.



Figure 4. Comparison of SBD_{Spr} and SBD_{Sco} interactions with PT-DNA. (A) Superimposition of SBD_{Spr} loop34 (cyan) with DNA (orange) and SBD_{Sco} loop34 (grey) with DNA (yellow). Phosphorus atoms of the seventh and eighth bases in the PT-modi ed strand of SBD_{Sco} - G_{PS} GCC were extruded by 3.5 and 5.0 Å relative to those in the SBD_{Spr} - G_{PS} GCC. (B) Arg69, Arg73 and Arg75 of SBD_{Spr} loop 34 form a positive interface with the DNA. (C) Glu156, Asp157 and Asp160 of SBD_{Sco} loop 34 and helix 3 form a negatively charged surface area to DNA. (D, E) The surface charge of (D) SBD_{Spr} and (E) SBD_{Sco} . The surface charge distribution at neutral pH is displayed with blue for positive, red for negative, and white for neutral. (F) In uence of mutations in E156 on the ability of SBD_{Sco} to bind $G_{PS}GCC$, $G_{PS}ATC$ and $G_{PS}AAC$ in EMSAs. N, no protein added to the EMSA.



scomcrA mutants

Figure 5. Sequence speci city of ScoMcrA mutants. (A) Ability of SBD_{Sco} mutants to bind G_{PS}GCC, G_{PS}ATC and G_{PS}AAC in EMSAs. N, no protein added to the EMSA; WT, the wild-type SBD_{Sco}; E156R, SBD_{Sco}–E156R mutant; E156R/D157R, SBD_{Sco}–E156R/D157R mutant. (B) Uptake ef ciency of *scoMcrA* and its mutants by the PT⁻ host and PT⁺ host. The PT⁺ host contains the expression vector with the *dnd* gene cluster from *Salmonella enterica*, which encodes the 'writer' proteins for phosphorothioation of G_{PS}AAC /G_{PS}TTC. Transformation ef ciency obtained with the *dnd* host (PT⁺) and the negative control host (PT⁻) is indicated by black bars and white bars, respectively.

DISCUSSION

Recognition of PT-DNA by the SBD of the type IV REase ScoMcrA is not only phosphorothioate-dependent but also DNA sequence-speci c as this enzyme only recognizes PT-DNA of the G_{PS}GCC core *in vivo* and *in vitro*, whereas it does not bind to the PT-DNA of other four core sequences found in prokarvotes. However, ve SBD_{Sco} homologs, including SprMcrA, generally display a more relaxed sequence speci city in target DNA selection. Although both SBD_{Spr} and SBD_{Sco} clearly shifted the G_{PS}GCC DNA duplex in EMSAs, af nity quanti cation showed that the former had an 18.2-fold higher af nity than the latter did, with SBD_{Spr} also showing a 28.7-fold and 6-fold higher af nity for G_{PS}ATC and G_{PS}AAC, respectively (Tables 1 and 2). These differences in binding af nity between the two SBD domains lead to a distinctive presence or absence of in vivo restriction activity. For example, ScoMcrA can restrict the uptake of *dnd* gene clusters generating the G_{PS}GCC modi cation (20) but not those generating the GAAC/GTTC modi cations (Figure 5B). In contrast, SprMcrA can block the establishment of PT modi cations at G_{PS}AAC/G_{PS}TTC and G_{PS}GCC sites as it showed an overall higher af nity for PT-DNA compared to ScoMcrA. Through comparative analysis, we attributed this difference in binding af nity to the reverse charge of loop 34 in both structures, which functions like a switch by changing between positive and negative electric charges in the different structures. Mutations of negatively charged amino acids into positively charged ones on loop 34 of SBD_{Sco} signi cantly enhanced the binding af nity for PT-DNA. For example, the E156R/D157R mutation conferred SBD_{Sco} with the ability to bind to G_{PS}AAC and G_{PS}ATC, and thus conferred ScoMcrA with in vivo restriction activity for dnd encoding G_{PS}AAC/G_{PS}TTC (Figure 5B). This structural switch offers us an opportunity to engineer a exible or stringent sequence speci city for a given SBD.

In our study, the SBD_{Sco}-E156R and SBD_{Sco}-E156R/D157R mutants had signi cant increases in binding af nity for G_{PS}ATC and G_{PS}AAC when compared with the wild-type SBD_{Sco} (Table 2). The MD simulations showed that R156 and R157 participate in van der Waals interactions and hydrogen-bond interactions with C⁶ and G⁷ of PT-DNA with G_{PS}ATC and G_{PS}AAC core sequences, resulting in the higher binding af nity compared to the wild-type SBD_{Sco}. However, the binding af nity of these two mutants for G_{PS}GCC showed a slight decrease in comparison to the wild-type protein, in contrast to the increased af nities for G_{PS}ATC and G_{PS}AAC. The superposition of the mutants and wild type structures after MD simulations gave a root mean square deviation (RMSD) value of 0.636 A by using backbone atoms (C α), indicating the mutations do not lead to vastly structural changes in the MD simulations (Supplementary Figure S10). Next, the binding af nity of G_{PS}GCC and SBD_{Sco} were carefully examined to understand the geometrical disturbance of E156R/D157R mutation with the MM/GBSA method (40). As shown in Supplementary Table S4, the $\Delta \Delta G_{\text{binding}}$ value for PT-DNA binding SBD_{Sco}-E156R/D157R was positive (0.9 kcal/mol), suggesting that the mutation slightly weakened the binding af nity, consistent with

the experimental observation (Table 2). It is noticed that deformability of the DNA structure may contribute to the sequence speci city (48). As the conclusions of MD simulations, R156 and R157 are not directly involved in in uencing binding interaction with C^6 and G^7 in $G_{PS}GCC$ sequence, however, they may affect the orientation of other residues that are involved in direct interaction with PT-DNA. We speculate that will lead to the twisting of DNA, which then results in an imperfect match of the hydrophobic pocket with the $R_{\rm P}$ sulfur atom, thus reducing the af nity. These interactions ultimately lead to an overall decrease in the binding af nity of mutant SBD_{Sco}-E156R/D157R to G_{PS}GCC. Interestingly, the SBD_{Sco} -E156R/D157R mutant gained the ability to bind with the S_P stereoisomers of $G_{PS}GCC$ (Supplementary Figure S11) probably because the twisting of the G_{PS}GCC strand by the E156R/D157R mutation positioned the sulfur of $S_{\rm P}$ within the sulfur-coordination cavity.

SBD homologs are widely represented in at least 1059 sequenced species from 14 phyla of bacteria (20). In addition to SBD_{Sco}, four SBD homologs, including SBD_{Spr}, displayed exibility in the selection of substrate PT-DNA with different core sequences (20). It is notable that loop 34 is rich in basic amino acids in four of the SBDs (Supplementary Figure S12). With its acidic amino acids, loop 34 of SBD_{Sco} is unique among SBD homologs, which may be related to the unique domain composition of SBD-SRA-HNH for ScoMcrA. Multiple DNA recognition domains of ScoMcrA result in reduced ability to distinguish between modi ed and non-modi ed DNA substrates, in turn resulting in nonspeci c cleavage activity. In order to maintain the speci city of cleavage activity and low toxicity, the distribution of positive charges on the surface may have become reduced in ScoMcrA during evolution. Consequently, ScoMcrA can only recognize and restrict G_{PS}GCC, the most common core sequence of PT-DNA in Streptomyces, with exibility lost in the selection of substrate PT-DNA with different core sequences. Overall, our study illustrates structural features that impact the recognition of PT-DNA by SBDs of type IV restriction enzymes.

DATA AVAILABILITY

Atomic coordinates and structure factor for the sulfurbinding domain (SBD) from full-length *Streptomyces pristinaespiralis* endonuclease SprMcrA in complex with 5-GGCG_{PS}GCCC-3, 5-GATG_{PS}ATCC-3 and 5-GGCG_{PS}

in data collection. We're also grateful to the helpful discussions with Professor Jiahai Zhou from Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences.

FUNDING

National Natural Science Foundation of China [31770070, 31970041, 31670106, 31872627]; Chinese Postdoctoral Science Foundation [2019M661493]; National Key Research and Development Program of China [2018YFA0901900]. Funding for open access charge: National Natural Science Foundation of China [31770070]; Chinese Postdoctoral Science Foundation [2019M661493].

Conflict of interest statement. None declared.

REFERENCES

- Zhou, X., He, X., Liang, J., Li, A., Xu, T., Kieser, T., Helmann, J.D. and Deng, Z. (2005) A novel DNA modi cation by sulphur. *Mol. Microbiol.*, 57, 1428–1438.
- Wang,L., Chen,S., Xu,T., Taghizadeh,K., Wishnok,J.S., Zhou,X., You,D., Deng,Z. and Dedon,P.C. (2007) Phosphorothioation of DNA in bacteria by dnd genes. *Nat. Chem. Biol.*, 3, 709–710.
- DNA in bacteria by dnd genes. *Nat. Chem. Biol.*, 3, 709–710.
 Wang,L., Chen,S., Vergin,K.L., Giovannoni,S.J., Chan,S.W., DeMott,M.S., Taghizadeh,K., Cordero,O.X., Cutler,M., Timberlake,S. *et al.* (2011) DNA phosphorothioation is widespread and quantized in bacterial genomes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 108, 2963–2968.
- Cao, B., Chen, C., DeMott, M.S., Cheng, Q., Clark, T.A., Xiong, X., Zheng, X., Butty, V., Levine, S.S., Yuan, G. *et al.* (2014) Genomic mapping of phosphorothioates reveals partial modi cation of short consensus sequences. *Nat. Commun.*, 5, 3951.
- Ou, H.Y., He, X., Shao, Y., Tai, C., Rajakumar, K. and Deng, Z. (2009) dndDB: a database focused on phosphorothioation of the DNA backbone. *PLoS One*, 4, e5132.
- 6. Tong, T., Chen, S., Wang, L., Tang, Y., Ryu, J.Y., Jiang, S., Wu, X., Chen, C., Luo, J., Deng, Z. *et al.* (2018) Occurrence, evolution, and functions of DNA phosphorothioate epigenetics in bacteria. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, **115**, E2988–E2996.
- Xie, X., Liang, J., Pu, T., Xu, F., Yao, F., Yang, Y., Zhao, Y.L., You, D., Zhou, X., Deng, Z. et al. (2012) Phosphorothioate DNA as an antioxidant in bacteria. Nucleic Acids Res., 40, 9115–9124.
- Kellner, S., DeMott, M.S., Cheng, C.P., Russell, B.S., Cao, B., You, D. and Dedon, P.C. (2017) Oxidation of phosphorothioate DNA modi cations leads to lethal genomic instability. *Nat. Chem. Biol.*, 13, 888–894.
- Gan,R., Wu,X., He,W., Liu,Z., Wu,S., Chen,C., Chen,S., Xiang,Q., Deng,Z., Liang,D. *et al.* (2014) DNA phosphorothioate modi cations in uence the global transcriptional response and protect DNA from double-stranded breaks. *Sci. Rep.*, 4, 6642.
- Xu, T., Yao, F., Zhou, X., Deng, Z. and You, D. (2010) A novel host-speci c restriction system associated with DNA backbone S-modi cation in *Salmonella*. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 38, 7133–7141.
- 11. Aranda,S., Rutishauser,D. and Ernfors,P. (2014) Identi cation of a large protein network involved in epigenetic transmission in replicating DNA of embryonic stem cells. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **42**, 6972–6986.
- 12. Roloff, T.C., Ropers, H.H. and Nuber, U.A. (2003) Comparative study of methyl-CpG-binding domain proteins. *BMC Genomics*, **4**, 1.
- Hashimoto, H., Horton, J.R., Zhang, X., Bostick, M., Jacobsen, S.E. and Cheng, X. (2008) The SRA domain of UHRF1 ips 5-methylcytosine out of the DNA helix. *Nature*, 455, 826–829.
- Ho,K.L., McNae,I.W., Schmiedeberg,L., Klose,R.J., Bird,A.P. and Walkinshaw,M.D. (2008) MeCP2 binding to DNA depends upon hydration at methyl-CpG. *Mol. Cell*, 29, 525–531.
- 15. Bostick, M., Kim, J.K., Esteve, P.O., Clark, A., Pradhan, S. and Jacobsen, S.E. (2007) UHRF1 plays a role in maintaining DNA methylation in mammalian cells. *Science*, **317**, 1760–1764.
- Horton, J.R., Mabuchi, M.Y., Cohen-Karni, D., Zhang, X., Griggs, R.M., Samaranayake, M., Roberts, R.J., Zheng, Y. and Cheng, X. (2012) Structure and cleavage activity of the tetrameric

MspJI DNA modi cation-dependent restriction endonuclease. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **40**, 9763–9773.

- Kisiala, M., Copelas, A., Czapinska, H., Xu, S.Y. and Bochtler, M. (2018) Crystal structure of the modi cation-dependent SRA-HNH endonuclease TagI. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 46, 10489–10503.
- Czapinska, H., Kowalska, M., Zagorskaite, E., Manakova, E., Slyvka, A., Xu, S.Y., Siksnys, V., Sasnauskas, G. and Bochtler, M. (2018) Activity and structure of EcoKMcrA. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 46, 9829–9841.
- Liu, G., Ou, H.Y., Wang, T., Li, L., Tan, H., Zhou, X., Rajakumar, K., Deng, Z. and He, X. (2010) Cleavage of phosphorothioated DNA and methylated DNA by the type IV restriction endonuclease ScoMcrA. *PLoS Genet.*, 6, e1001253.
- Liu,G., Fu,W., Zhang,Z., He,Y., Yu,H., Wang,Y., Wang,X., Zhao,Y.L., Deng,Z., Wu,G. *et al.* (2018) Structural basis for the recognition of sulfur in phosphorothioated DNA. *Nat. Commun.*, 9, 4689.
- Yu, H., Liu, G., Zhao, G., Hu, W., Wu, G., Deng, Z. and He, X. (2018) Identi cation of a conserved DNA sulfur recognition domain by characterizing the phosphorothioate-speci c endonuclease SprMcrA from *Streptomyces pristinaespiralis*. *Mol. Microbiol.*, **110**, 484–497.
- Han, T., Yamada-Mabuchi, M., Zhao, G., Li, L., Liu, G., Ou, H.Y., Deng, Z., Zheng, Y. and He, X. (2015) Recognition and cleavage of 5-methylcytosine DNA by bacterial SRA-HNH proteins. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 43, 1147–1159.
- 23. Zheng,L., Baumann,U. and Reymond,J.L. (2004) An ef cient one-step site-directed and site-saturation mutagenesis protocol. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **32**, e115.
- Chayen, N.E. and Saridakis, E. (2008) Protein crystallization: from puri ed protein to diffraction-quality crystal. *Nat. Methods*, 5, 147–153.
- Otwinowski, Z., Borek, D., Majewski, W. and Minor, W. (2003) Multiparametric scaling of diffraction intensities. *Acta Crystallogr. A, Found. Crystallogr.*, 59, 228–234.
- Adams, P.D., Grosse-Kunstleve, R.W., Hung, L.W., Ioerger, T.R., McCoy, A.J., Moriarty, N.W., Read, R.J., Sacchettini, J.C., Sauter, N.K. and Terwilliger, T.C. (2002) PHENIX: building new software for automated crystallographic structure determination. *Acta Crystallogr. D, Biol. Crystallogr.*, 58, 1948–1954.
- Emsley, P. and Cowtan, K. (2004) Coot: model-building tools for molecular graphics. *Acta Crystallogr. D, Biol. Crystallogr.*, 60, 2126–2132.
- Collaborative Computational Project, Number 4. (1994) The CCP4 suite: programs for protein crystallography. *Acta Crystallogr. D, Biol. Crystallogr.*, 50, 760–763.
- DiMaio, F. (2013) Advances in Rosetta structure prediction for dif cult molecular-replacement problems. *Acta Crystallogr. D, Biol. Crystallogr.*, 69, 2202–2208.
- Salomon-Ferrer, R., Case, D.A. and Walker, R.C. (2013) An overview of the Amber biomolecular simulation package. *Wires Comput. Mol. Sci.*, 3, 198–210.
- 31. (2016) Molecular Operating Environment (MOE) v2018. *Chemical Computing Group Inc: Montreal.*
- Maler, J.A., Martinez, C., Kasavajhala, K., Wickstrom, L., Hauser, K.E. and Simmerling, C. (2015) ff14SB: improving the accuracy of protein side chain and backbone parameters from ff99SB. J. Chem. Theory Comput., 11, 3696–3713.
- 33. Zgarbová, M., Luque, F.J., Šponer, J., Cheatham, T.E. III, Otyepka, M. and Jurecka, P. (2013) Toward improved description of DNA backbone: Revisiting epsilon and zeta torsion force eld parameters. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.*, 9, 2339–2354.
- 34. Mukherjee, S. and Bhattacharyya, D. (2004) Effect of phosphorothioate chirality on the grooves of DNA double helices: a molecular dynamics study. *Biopolymers*, **73**, 269–282.
- 35. Bas, D.C., Rogers, D.M. and Jensen, J.H. (2008) Very fast prediction and rationalization of pK_a values for protein-ligand complexes. *Proteins*, **73**, 765–783.
- Jorgensen, W.L., Chandrasekhar, J., Madura, J.D., Impey, R. and Klein, M.L. (1983) Comparison of simple potential functions for simulating liquid water. J. Chem. Phys., 79, 926–935.
- Darden, T., York, D. and Pedersen, L. (1993) Particle mesh Ewald: An N·log(N) method for Ewald sums in large systems. J. Chem. Phys., 98, 10089–10092.

- Ryckaert, J., Ciccotti, G. and Berendsen, H.J.C. (1977) Numerical integration of the cartesian equations of motion of a system with constraints: molecular dynamics of n-alkanes. J. Comput. Phys., 23, 327–341.
- BIOVIA (2017) In: Discovery Studio Modeling Environment, Release 2017. Dassault Systèmes, San Diego, CA.
- Sun,H., Li,Y., Shen,M., Tian,S., Xu,L., Pan,P., Guan,Y. and Hou,T. (2014) Assessing the performance of Mm/Pbsa and Mm/Gbsa Methods. 5. Improved docking performance using high solute dielectric constant Mm/Gbsa and Mm/Pbsa rescoring. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 16, 22035–22045.
- Weiser, J., Shenkin, P.S. and Still, W.C. (1999) Approximate solvent accessible surface areas from tetrahedrally directed neighbor densities. *Biopolymers*, 50, 373–380.
- Onufriev, A., Bashford, D. and Case, D.A. (2004) Exploring protein native states and Large-Scale conformational changes with a modi ed generalized born model. *Proteins: Struct., Funct., Genet.*, 55, 383–394.

- Lefebvre, C., Rubez, G., Khartabil, H., Boisson, J.C., Contreras-Garcia, J. and Henon, E. (2017) Accurately extracting the signature of intermolecular interactions present in the NCI plot of the reduced density gradient versus electron density. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, **19**, 17928–17936.
- Johnson, É. R., Keinan, S., Mori-Sanchez, P., Contreras-Garcia, J., Cohen, A.J. and Yang, W. (2010) Revealing non-covalent interactions. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 132, 6498–6506.
- 45. Lu, T. and Chen, F.W. (2012) Multiwfn: a multifunctional wavefunction analyzer. J. Comput. Chem., 33, 580–592.
- Humphrey, W., Dalke, A. and Schulten, K. (1996) VMD: visual molecular dynamics. J. Mol. Graph., 14, 33–38.
- Gutfreund, Y.M., Margalit, H., Jernigan, R.L. and Zhurkin, V.B. (1998) A role for CH...O interactions in protein-DNA recognition. J. Mol. Biol., 277, 1129–1140.
- Rohs, R., Jin, X., West, S.M., Joshi, R., Honig, B. and Mann, R.S. (2010) Origins of speci city in protein-DNA recognition. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.*, 79, 233–269.